

Annual Curriculum Review & Curriculum Improvement Plan Operating Guidelines

Effective: July 6, 2021

Responsible University Officer: Erik Nelson, Senior Associate Dean of Academic Affairs

Responsible Department: Academic Affairs Unit, School of Professional Studies

Overview

In preparation for fiscal year strategic planning and the budget cycle, academic programs at SPS conduct an Annual Curriculum Review (ACR) — a comprehensive review of overall curriculum effectiveness in achieving the program’s stated purpose as well as its alignment with current trends and market needs. Program Curriculum Committees (PCC) are the faculty body responsible for completing the ACR and developing a Curriculum Improvement Plan (CIP) designed to enhance the quality and the rigor of the program and better prepare students to move their careers, communities and industries forward.

Purpose

To continually improve curriculum in support of maintaining the excellence of distinguished programs and formulating strategies for achieving eminence in programs that are not currently judged as distinguished.

Timing

The PCC should complete the ACR before the end of the fiscal year and develop the CIP no later than the fourth week in July. Programs will then prepare Course Proposals for the entire fiscal year ahead and submit them for approval to the Senior Associate Dean of Academic Affairs.

June				July				August				Sept			
FY23 - ANNUAL PLANNING & BUDGET SEASONS															
W1	W2	W3	W4	W1	W2	W3	W4	W1	W2	W3	W4	W1	W2	W3	W4
Program Data Gathering & Analysis															
			Annual Curriculum Review (ACR) & Curriculum Improvement Plan (CIP) completed by PCC					FY23 Course Proposals Due							
										Complete FY23 Budget Template					
												Prep for FY23 Budget Hearing			
														FY 23 Budget Hearings begin	

Annual Curriculum Review (ACR)

During the ACR, the PCC reviews a wide array of qualitative and quantitative data, including input from various stakeholders (students, alumni, industry experts, hiring companies). The PCC assesses the effectiveness of the program curriculum in achieving the program's stated purpose, its alignment with disciplinary and market trends, and current as well as predicted future employer hiring needs.

Data Inputs

1. **Qualitative Stakeholder Input** - multiple sources via survey, interviews, focus group
 - a. **Faculty** Qualitative Input
 - i. What are the current debates in the field and how well does the program's curriculum address these?
 - ii. Where is the field likely to be five or ten years from now?
 - iii. What content should be included in required core courses that all students must take to earn the program's degree?
 - iv. What improvements should be considered to ensure that the program maintains and/or improves its standing in the field in light of the challenges presented.
 - b. **Students** Qualitative Input
 - i. Course Evaluations
 - ii. Focus Groups
 - iii. Satisfaction surveys and/or program exit surveys
 - c. **Alumni** Qualitative Input - include alumni at different points of career experience and years post-graduate
 - d. **Employer** Qualitative Input (ie: Employer Advisory Boards)
2. **Quantitative Program- & Course-level Data**
 - a. Program Retention & Completion Rates
 - b. Course enrollment data - trend and comparison versus benchmarks
3. **Student Performance Data**
 - a. Average Course grades
 - b. Program-level Direct Qualitative Assessment Methods
 - i. Quality of Capstone Projects
 - ii. Thesis or writing sample quality
 - iii. Oral presentation skills
 - iv. Pass rate on qualifying exams
4. **Student Career Outcomes** - as indicated by surveys and other sources
 - a. Post-graduation placement rates
 - b. Representative roles and responsibilities
5. **Competitive Programs** - course offerings of market leading peer programs

Curriculum Improvement Plan (CIP)

After completing the ACR, the PCC develops the CIP. There are three key components to the CIP:

1. Curriculum SWOT Analysis Framework & Template - [Template Curriculum SWOT Analysis](#)
 2. Curriculum Improvement Narrative - [TEMPLATE Curriculum Improvement Narrative](#)
 3. Course Proposals Worksheet - [TEMPLATE Course Proposals Worksheet](#)
-

1. SWOT Analysis Framework

A SWOT Analysis is a method for assessing and organizing the Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats of a business model, its products, services, and/or team(s).

Strengths & Weaknesses are internal to the organization

- Things your team has some control over and can change or impact.

Opportunities & Threats are external to the organization

- Things happening outside your organization in the larger market. Your team can't change these.
- Take advantage of Opportunities/Protect against Threats.

A SWOT Analysis Diagram organizes the findings into a two-by-two grid with one quadrant dedicated to each area (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats). Program Curriculum Committees can use questions posed in the Curriculum SWOT Analysis Framework (Figure 1) to guide them and provide a filled-out grid or accompanying narrative as part of the CIP. See *Figure 1*.

2. Curriculum Improvement Narrative

- Summary of the current state of the curriculum and key findings from ACR & SWOT Analysis
- Broad recommendations for curricular improvements
- Include most urgent priorities, including risks associated with not addressing them
 - ie: closing a curriculum gap vs the competition; developing a course to address a new area that would prepare students with in-demand skills; etc.
- Outline any additional areas of recommendation for program developments
 - ie: new tracks; additional credentials; CPAs; etc.)
- Illustrates how these improvements correspond to Program Learning Outcomes

3. Course Proposals Worksheet

- Program submits to Academic Affairs Senior Associate Dean
- Proposed courses to add, modify, or retire as part of the Curriculum Improvement Plan for the fiscal year ahead.

[illegible]